

**KUDIRKA & JOBSE, LLP**

One Beacon Street  
Boston, MA 02108  
Tel (617) 367-4600  
Fax (617) 367-4656

**FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**Number of pages being transmitted (including cover sheet): 2Date: 9/10/97Recipient's Name Ex. Ken WeiderCompany Name USPTOFacsimile Number (703) 305-3991Telephone Number (703) 305-4710

From Facsimile Number (617) 367-4656

Sender's Name Bruce Jobse**Original Documents Via**☐ First Class Mail ☐ Overnight ☐ E-Mail ☒ Not Sent**Comments or Instructions**

This transmission contains confidential information intended for use only by the above-named recipient. Reading, discussion, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited by anyone other than the named recipient, or his or her employees or agents. If you have received this fax in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (collect), and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service.

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSMISSION  
OR IF ANY OF THE PAGES ARE ILLEGIBLE, PLEASE CALL  
IMMEDIATELY AT (617) 367-4600

Our File Number(s) N0003/7000

Your File Number(s) \_\_\_\_\_



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF  
PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

FAX RECEIVED

SEP 10 1997

GROUP 2600

Bookstein & Kudirka, PC  
One Beacon Street  
Boston, Mass. 02108

Applicant: Glenn W. Hutton  
Filed: September 25, 1995  
Serial No. 08/533,115  
For: Point to Point Internet Protocol

: Decision on Petition Under  
: 37 CFR Section 1.48 (c)

This is a decision on the petition filed on April 21, 1997 to add originally named inventors under 37 CFR Section 1.48(c). Applicants request that Shane D. Mattaway and Craig B. Strickland be added to the above referenced application as they contributed to the invention subject matter added by preliminary amendment which was filed on April 10, 1996, after the original filing of the application.

The petition includes a verified statement of facts but does not include a statement that the error was made without deceptive intention, as required by 37 CFR Section 1.48(a). Also the consent by the assignee is not acceptable at this time because it is not accompanied by a proper certification under 37 CFR Section 3.73(b). The consent must also include a statement specifying that the evidentiary document (assignment paper) has been reviewed and that to the best of the assignee's knowledge and belief, title is in the assignee seeking to take action. The consent must also be verified in the form of a declaration.

Therefore, the petition is DENIED. The petition may be resubmitted in proper form for reconsideration.

Alyssa H. Bowler  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2302

(Copy of paper #12)

rw